



The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898

By Evan Thomas

Download now

Read Online 

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898

By Evan Thomas

On February 15, 1898, the USS *Maine* exploded in Havana Harbor. The sinking of the *Maine* was just the provocation Assistant Secretary of the Navy Theodore Roosevelt was looking for. Along with his friend Senator Henry Cabot Lodge and his rival, newspaper publisher William Randolph Hearst, Roosevelt began stirring the public's desire for war against Spain. Roosevelt was soon charging up San Juan Hill in Cuba with his Rough Riders in a tragi-comic campaign that marked America's emergence as an empire abroad. Through the perspective of five larger-than-life characters--war lovers Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and two prominent doves, House Speaker Thomas Reed and philosopher William James--Evan Thomas portrays a pivotal chapter in American history.

An intriguing examination of the pull that war has on men, THE WAR LOVERS is moving saga of courage, ambition, and broken friendships with a provocative relevance to today.

 [Download The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the ...pdf](#)

 [Read Online The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and th ...pdf](#)

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898

By Evan Thomas

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas

On February 15, 1898, the USS *Maine* exploded in Havana Harbor. The sinking of the *Maine* was just the provocation Assistant Secretary of the Navy Theodore Roosevelt was looking for. Along with his friend Senator Henry Cabot Lodge and his rival, newspaper publisher William Randolph Hearst, Roosevelt began stirring the public's desire for war against Spain. Roosevelt was soon charging up San Juan Hill in Cuba with his Rough Riders in a tragi-comic campaign that marked America's emergence as an empire abroad. Through the perspective of five larger-than-life characters--war lovers Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and two prominent doves, House Speaker Thomas Reed and philosopher William James--Evan Thomas portrays a pivotal chapter in American history.

An intriguing examination of the pull that war has on men, THE WAR LOVERS is moving saga of courage, ambition, and broken friendships with a provocative relevance to today.

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas

Bibliography

- Sales Rank: #85020 in Books
- Published on: 2011-05-16
- Original language: English
- Number of items: 1
- Dimensions: 8.50" h x 1.50" w x 5.50" l, .95 pounds
- Binding: Paperback
- 496 pages

 [Download The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the ...pdf](#)

 [Read Online The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and th ...pdf](#)

Download and Read Free Online *The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898* By Evan Thomas

Editorial Review

Amazon.com Review

Evan Thomas and Sebastian Junger: Author One-on-One

In this Amazon exclusive, we brought together authors Sebastian Junger and Evan Thomas and asked them to interview each other.

Evan Thomas is one of the most respected historians and journalists writing today. He is the author of *The War Lovers*. **Sebastian Junger** is an internationally acclaimed author and a contributing editor to *Vanity Fair*, and has been awarded a National Magazine Award and an SAIS Novartis Prize for journalism. He is the author of *War*. Read on to see Sebastian Junger and Evan Thomas talk about their books.



Evan Thomas: War really is hell in your book. And yet it seems to captivate some of the men who fight it. Why?

Sebastian Junger: War is hell, as the saying goes--but it isn't only that. It's a lot of other things, too--most of them delivered in forms that are way more pure and intense than what is available back home. The undeniable hellishness of war forces men to bond in ways that aren't necessary--or even possible-- in civilian society. The closest thing to it might be the parent-child bond, but that is not reciprocal. Children are generally not prepared to die for their parents, whereas the men in a platoon of combat infantry for the most part are prepared to do that for each other. For a lot of men, the security of being enclosed by a group like that apparently outweighs the terrors of being in combat. During World War II, wounded soldiers kept going AWOL from the rear-base hospitals in order to rejoin their units on the front line. Clearly, for those men, rejoining their comrades was more important than the risk of death.

I'm curious about the reactions of foot soldiers in previous wars--the Civil War, the Spanish-American War. Are there letters from soldiers describing their anguish at being separated from their comrades? Or is this a modern phenomenon?

Thomas: In the Spanish-American War, Teddy Roosevelt made a cult out of his band of brothers, the Rough

Riders, with the twist that he was bringing together gentlemen and cowboys to be true Americans. It was a romantic ideal but largely realized in the short (several week) war they fought--two battles, about a 15 percent casualty rate. The anguish you speak of was felt by the Rough Riders who were left behind--there was no room on the transports for roughly a third of Roosevelt's troopers, and they had to stay behind in Florida. Roosevelt wrote of them weeping over being separated from their comrades and missing out on the fight.

Roosevelt's war lust was sated by the Spanish-American War--for a time. He was not a notably bellicose president ("Talk softly but carry a big stick"). But when World War I came, he was almost pathologically driven to get back into the fight. He badgered President Wilson to let him raise a division. (Wilson, not wanting to create a martyr, said no.) Do you think the brotherhood of combat is in some ways addictive? What is it like for the soldiers and marines coming home?

Junger: It's amazing to see these same themes played out war after war. Politicians seize war for themselves, in some ways, and the public certainly holds them accountable for it--but the men who actually do the fighting are extraordinarily conflicted about it all. Only one man in the platoon I was with chose to leave the army after the deployment--Brendan O'Byrne, a main character in my book and now someone I consider a good friend. A few weeks ago we were hanging out with a family I know, and the talk turned to how rough the fighting was in Afghanistan. The mother, a woman in her thirties, asked Brendan if there was anything he missed about the experience. Brendan looked at her and said, without any irony, "Yes, almost all of it." I think what Brendan meant was that he missed an existence where every detail mattered--whether you tied your shoelaces, whether you cleaned your rifle--and you never had to question the allegiance of your friends. As Brendan said at another point, "There are guys in the platoon who straight-up hate each other-- but they'd all die for each other." Once they've been exposed to that, it's very hard for these guys to go back to a seemingly meaningless and ill-defined civilian life.

What happened to the men after they returned from their adventures with Roosevelt? Where did their lives lead them?

Thomas: The Rough Riders seem to have had endless reunions--but nothing like the PTSD so widely reported today. But perhaps that was because they were only fighting for about a month--a "splendid little war," as diplomat John Hay called it, apparently without irony. In *The War Lovers*, I was looking at another kind of camaraderie--the bond of men who want to get the country into war, who think that war will somehow restore the nation to spiritual greatness. Roosevelt and his best friend, Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, believed that America at the end of the 19th century had become "overcivilized"--that young men were turning soft and needed to somehow stir "the wolf rising in the heart," as Roosevelt put it. "All the great races have been fighting races," he said. It is significant that Roosevelt and Lodge, who pushed America to go to war with Spain in 1898, had written about war a great deal but never seen it. President William McKinley resisted; he had, as he noted, seen "the dead piled up at Antietam" in the Civil War. But the hawks in America were able to roll the doves, not for the last time.

Before *The War Lovers* I wrote *Sea of Thunder*, a book about the last naval battle of World War II, Leyte Gulf. I interviewed a number of survivors from the USS Johnston, a destroyer sunk in the battle after an unbelievably brave fight against superior forces. About 220 men went in the water but only about half of them were rescued. Because of a series of mistakes by the navy, they were left in the water for two and half days. The sharks came on the first night. For a long time, the survivors did not talk much about it. But then, after Tom Brokaw wrote *The Greatest Generation*, they began having reunions and speaking--almost compulsively--about their experiences. The recollections are often harrowing. Yet even years later, when the veterans compiled their recollections in a book of about eighty oral histories, the veterans did not speak of their own fear, with only one exception, as I recall. Somehow acknowledging fear remained a taboo.



In *War* you write about fear in clinical and fascinating ways. Did you have a hard time getting men to talk about fear?

Junger: Getting the men to talk about fear was very hard because, well, I think they were afraid of it. Their biggest worry seemed to be failing the other men of the platoon in some way, and whenever someone got killed, a common reaction was to search their own actions for blame. They didn't want to believe that a good man could get killed for no reason; someone had to be at fault. During combat, their personal fear effectively got subsumed by the greater anxiety that they would fail to do their job and someone else would get killed. The shame of that would last a lifetime, and they would literally do suicidal things to help platoon mates who were in danger. The classic story of a man throwing himself on a hand grenade--certain death, but an action that will almost certainly save everyone else--is neither a Hollywood cliché nor something that only happened in wars gone by. It is something that happens with regularity, and I don't think it can be explained by "army training" or any kind of suicidal impulse. I think that kind of courage goes to the heart of what it means to be human and to affiliate with others in a kind of transcendent way. Of course, once you have experienced a bond like that, everything else looks pathetic and uninteresting. That may be one reason combat vets have such a hard time returning to society..

My guess is that the survivors of the USS Johnston were more traumatized by the deaths of their comrades than the prospect of their own death. Did any of them speak to that? What were their nightmares about? Has anyone studied the effect of that trauma on their lives--divorce rate, suicide rate, that kind of thing?

Thomas: They certainly described the deaths of their colleagues--who went mad from drinking seawater, or were killed by sharks, or died from untreated wounds or exposure (the seawater was about 86 degrees at night, cold if you spent all night immersed in it). Some just swam away and drowned. In one or two cases, men begged to be put out of their misery and were. There were complicated emotions over the deaths. There wasn't enough room on the rafts for all the men, so when one died, it made room for another. I am sure there was terrible guilt, but I didn't get into it with the survivors I interviewed. I don't think they were studied as a cohort. I think they were expected to go on with their lives, and I think by and large they did.

Nations are changed by war--but somehow, only for a time. We have a way of forgetting the horrors of war, in the need young men (and old men who missed war) have to some experience the greatest challenge to their manhood. This was true in the period I wrote about in *The War Lovers*, more than three decades after the Civil War: men like Roosevelt and Lodge wanted to somehow experience the glories of war, and not think too hard about the way wars often turn out in unexpected ways. I know in Cuba, where I visited to research *The War Lovers*, the Cubans don't think of the Americans as their liberators from Spanish rule, but rather as foreign invaders. That's unfair, and in many ways just plain wrong, but not so hard to understand if you put yourself in the shoes of a country occupied by a foreign army. Some things never change.

From Publishers Weekly

America acquired an empire in a fit of neurosis, according to this shrewd, caustic psychological interpretation of the Spanish-American War by well-known *Newsweek* editor and bestselling author Thomas (*Sea of Thunder*). The book focuses on three leading war-mongers—Teddy Roosevelt, his crony, Sen. Henry Cabot Lodge, and newspaper magnate William Randolph Hearst, whose fanciful *New York Journal* coverage of the Cuban insurrection and the sinking of the USS *Maine* fanned war hysteria. Ashamed of their fathers' failure to fight in the Civil War, according to Thomas, these righteous sons trumped up a pointless conflict with Spain as a test of manhood, conflating the personal with the national. To Thomas they represent an American ruling elite imbued with notions of Anglo-Saxon supremacy over alien races and lower orders, but anxious about its own monied softness. As foils, Thomas offers Thomas Brackett Reed, the antiwar speaker of the House, and philosopher William James, who advanced an ethic of moral courage against the Rooseveltian cult of physical aggression. Thomas's thesis is bold and will undoubtedly be controversial, but his protagonists make for rich psychological portraiture, and the book serves as an illuminating case study in the sociocultural underpinnings of American military adventurism. 45 b&w photos, 2 maps. (Apr. 27) Copyright © Reed Business Information, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

From [Booklist](#)

Through the Spanish-American War of 1898, Thomas' newest history shows the pro-war stances of three historical figures (Senator Henry Cabot Lodge, Assistant Secretary of the Navy Theodore Roosevelt, and publisher William Randolph Hearst) and the anti-war attitudes of two others (Speaker of the House Thomas Reed and philosopher William James). Attaining adulthood following the Civil War, each one developed some conception of war through America's living memories of that conflict, which Thomas refracts through the man's familial or social milieu. Whether or not TR's rage militaire was psychological compensation for a father who avoided combat in the Civil War, it certainly resonated with a public entertained by Hearst's yellow-press sensationalism. Embroidering the tenor of the times with prevalent attitudes of Anglo-Saxon ascendancy, Thomas has James comment, appalled, on the war fever of 1898 as his narrative ascends its crest of the Rough Riders' uphill charge in Cuba. Survivors such as TR, however, were exhilarated by the battle's test of their bravery and their nation's vitality, all emblematic of a historical zeitgeist that Thomas well captures with his customary fluidity. --Gilbert Taylor

Users Review

From reader reviews:

Michael Counts:

Here thing why this The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 are different and trusted to be yours. First of all reading a book is good however it depends in the content than it which is the content is as delightful as food or not. The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 giving you information deeper and in different ways, you can find any publication out there but there is no e-book that similar with The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898. It gives you thrill reading journey, its open up your personal eyes about the thing in which happened in the world which is might be can be happened around you. You can actually bring everywhere like in park, café, or even in your approach home by train. Should you be having difficulties in bringing the published book maybe the form of The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 in e-book can be your alternate.

Mary Parker:

Reading a reserve can be one of a lot of action that everyone in the world adores. Do you like reading book thus. There are a lot of reasons why people fantastic. First reading a publication will give you a lot of new facts. When you read a book you will get new information because book is one of a number of ways to share the information or maybe their idea. Second, examining a book will make anyone more imaginative. When you examining a book especially fiction book the author will bring one to imagine the story how the characters do it anything. Third, you are able to share your knowledge to others. When you read this *The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898*, you are able to tells your family, friends along with soon about yours book. Your knowledge can inspire the others, make them reading a guide.

Rosalind Bowlin:

Reading a book to be new life style in this 12 months; every people loves to read a book. When you learn a book you can get a lot of benefit. When you read publications, you can improve your knowledge, due to the fact book has a lot of information into it. The information that you will get depend on what sorts of book that you have read. If you wish to get information about your analysis, you can read education books, but if you want to entertain yourself you can read a fiction books, this sort of us novel, comics, and also soon. *The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898* provide you with a new experience in examining a book.

Maria Swensen:

On this era which is the greater man or woman or who has ability in doing something more are more valuable than other. Do you want to become one among it? It is just simple approach to have that. What you are related is just spending your time not very much but quite enough to get a look at some books. One of several books in the top collection in your reading list is actually *The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898*. This book that is qualified as *The Hungry Inclines* can get you closer in growing to be precious person. By looking upward and review this e-book you can get many advantages.

**Download and Read Online *The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898* By Evan Thomas
#LA6PYQKOHBI**

Read The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas for online ebook

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas Free PDF d0wnl0ad, audio books, books to read, good books to read, cheap books, good books, online books, books online, book reviews epub, read books online, books to read online, online library, greatbooks to read, PDF best books to read, top books to read The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas books to read online.

Online The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas ebook PDF download

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas Doc

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas Mobipocket

The War Lovers: Roosevelt, Lodge, Hearst, and the Rush to Empire, 1898 By Evan Thomas EPub